Exhibit

B

. 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	PROFESSIONAL SALES AND)
4	MARKETING GROUP, INC., an
5	Plaintiff,
6	-vs- Case No. 07 C 3951
7	RENETTO, LLC, a Maryland Chicago, Illinois Limited Liability Corporation; November 7, 2007
8	Limited Liability Corporation;) November 7, 2007 and SWIMWAYS CORPORATION, a) 10:05 a.m. Virginia Corporation,
9	Defendants.
10	bot official to
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE RUBEN CASTILLO
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Plaintiff: MR. GREGORY J. JORDAN MR. JOHN J. CURRY, JR.
14	Polsinelli Shalton Flanigan Suelthaus PC
15	180 North Stetson Avenue Suite 4525
16	Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 819-1900
17	For Defendant
18	Renetto: MR. AYAD P. JACOB Schiff Hardin LLP
19	6600 Sears Tower
20	Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 258-5500
21	Court Reporter:
22	KATHLEEN M. FENNELL, CSR, RMR, FCRR
23	Official Court Reporter United States District Court 210 South Dearborn Street Suite 2144-A
24	219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2144-A Chicago, Illinois 60604
25	Telephone: (312) 435-5569 email: Kathleen_Fennell@ilnd.uscourts.gov

APPEARANCES: (Continued) For Defendant Swimways: MR. MORGAN J. MILNER Chittenden, Murday & Novotny, LLC 303 West Madison Street, Suite 1400 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 281-3600

case 1:07-cv-06817 Document 29-3 Filed 11/13/2007 Page 3 of 6

(Proceedings heard in open court:) 1 THE CLERK: 07 C 3951, Professional Sales versus 2 3 Renetto. THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MR. MILNER: Good morning, your Honor. Morgan Milner 5 6 on behalf of Swimways. 7 MR. JACOB: Ayad Jacob on behalf of Renetto. 8 MR. JORDAN: Gregory Jordan and John Curry on behalf 9 of Professional Sales. It's our motion. 10 THE COURT: Okay. First of all, I agree with the 11 proposition that this is really not an emergency situation, 12 but go ahead. You can present the motion. MR. JORDAN: Your Honor, Professional Sales doesn't 13 14 want to relitigate the motion in Virginia. However, it does 15 want to file a Rule 59 motion to reconsider in Virginia. 16 THE COURT: And that's up to Judge Lee. 17 MR. JORDAN: And it is up to Judge Lee. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. JORDAN: But one of the issues that arose in the 20 Virginia litigation is the understanding of your Honor's July 17, 2007 minute order. If you'll recall --21 22 THE COURT: I recall, but is your position that Judge Lee, as capable as he is, just doesn't understand what my 23 24 order says? 25 I think what -- what he's unclear as to MR. JORDAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is whether your Honor retained subject matter jurisdiction, and it seems clear to me from the order in which you required that the parties undertake settlement discussions before filing any additional pleadings and you indicated in that minute order, and I have a copy here if your Honor wants it --I don't need a copy. THE COURT: Okay. Indicated that the parties shall MR. JORDAN: file a joint status report on August 20. I know what it says, counsel. THE COURT: MR. JORDAN: And if your Honor did not retain subject matter jurisdiction, your Honor wouldn't have ability to order that the parties file a joint status report on the 20th. THE COURT: And you're saying that Judge Lee can't tell that from the order? Is that your position? MR. JORDAN: Frankly, I think yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, you go talk to Judge Lee about that because I'm denying your motion. Judge Lee is fully capable of knowing exactly what my order says. That's all I'm going to say. Thank you. Have a good day. Thank you, your Honor. MR. MILNER: Thank you, your Honor. MR. JACOB:

(Which were all the proceedings heard.)

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Kathleen M. Fennell Official Court Reporter 1/- 1-67 Date